

**Proposition EE (STATUTORY)**

SHALL STATE TAXES BE INCREASED BY \$294,000,000 ANNUALLY BY IMPOSING A TAX ON NICOTINE LIQUIDS USED IN E-CIGARETTES AND OTHER VAPING PRODUCTS THAT IS EQUAL TO THE TOTAL STATE TAX ON TOBACCO PRODUCTS WHEN FULLY PHASED IN, INCREMENTALLY INCREASING THE TOBACCO PRODUCTS TAX BY UP TO 22% OF THE MANUFACTURER'S LIST PRICE, INCREMENTALLY INCREASING THE CIGARETTE TAX BY UP TO 9 CENTS PER CIGARETTE, EXPANDING THE EXISTING CIGARETTE AND TOBACCO TAXES TO APPLY TO SALES TO CONSUMERS FROM OUTSIDE OF THE STATE, ESTABLISHING A MINIMUM TAX FOR MOIST SNUFF TOBACCO PRODUCTS, CREATING AN INVENTORY TAX THAT APPLIES FOR FUTURE CIGARETTE TAX INCREASES, AND INITIALLY USING THE TAX REVENUE PRIMARILY FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL FUNDING TO HELP OFFSET REVENUE THAT HAS BEEN LOST AS A RESULT OF THE ECONOMIC IMPACTS RELATED TO COVID-19 AND THEN FOR PROGRAMS THAT REDUCE THE USE OF TOBACCO AND NICOTINE PRODUCTS, ENHANCE THE VOLUNTARY COLORADO PRESCHOOL PROGRAM AND MAKE IT WIDELY AVAILABLE FOR FREE, AND MAINTAIN THE FUNDING FOR PROGRAMS THAT CURRENTLY RECEIVE REVENUE FROM TOBACCO TAXES, WITH THE STATE KEEPING AND SPENDING ALL OF THE NEW TAX REVENUE AS A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE?

YES/FOR       NO/AGAINST

**Proposition 113 (STATUTORY)**

Shall the following Act of the General Assembly be approved: An Act concerning adoption of an agreement among the states to elect the President of the United States by national popular vote, being Senate Bill No. 19-042?

YES/FOR       NO/AGAINST

**Proposition 114 (STATUTORY)**

Shall there be a change to the Colorado Revised Statutes concerning the restoration of gray wolves through their reintroduction on designated lands in Colorado located west of the continental divide, and, in connection therewith, requiring the Colorado parks and wildlife commission, after holding statewide hearings and using scientific data, to implement a plan to restore and manage gray wolves; prohibiting the commission from imposing any land, water, or resource use restrictions on private landowners to further the plan; and requiring the commission to fairly compensate owners for losses of livestock caused by gray wolves?

YES/FOR       NO/AGAINST

**Proposition 115 (STATUTORY)**

Shall there be a change to the Colorado Revised Statutes concerning prohibiting an abortion when the probable gestational age of the fetus is at least twenty-two weeks, and, in connection therewith, making it a misdemeanor punishable by a fine to perform or attempt to perform a prohibited abortion, except when the abortion is immediately required to save the life of the pregnant woman when her life is physically threatened, but not solely by a psychological or emotional condition; defining terms related to the measure including "probable gestational age" and "abortion," and excepting from the definition of "abortion" medical procedures relating to miscarriage or ectopic pregnancy; specifying that a woman on whom an abortion is performed may not be charged with a crime in relation to a prohibited abortion; and requiring the Colorado medical board to suspend for at least three years the license of a licensee whom the board finds performed or attempted to perform a prohibited abortion?

YES/FOR       NO/AGAINST

**Proposition 116 (STATUTORY)**

Shall there be a change to the Colorado Revised Statutes reducing the state income tax rate from 4.63% to 4.55%?

YES/FOR       NO/AGAINST

**Proposition 117 (STATUTORY)**

Shall there be a change to the Colorado Revised Statutes requiring statewide voter approval at the next even-year election of any newly created or qualified state enterprise that is exempt from the Taxpayer's Bill of Rights, Article X, Section 20 of the Colorado constitution, if the projected or actual combined revenue from fees and surcharges of the enterprise, and all other enterprises created within the last five years that serve primarily the same purpose, is greater than \$100 million within the first five fiscal years of the creation or qualification of the new enterprise?

YES/FOR       NO/AGAINST

**Proposition 118 (STATUTORY)**

Shall there be a change to the Colorado Revised Statutes concerning the creation of a paid family and medical leave program in Colorado, and, in connection therewith, authorizing paid family and medical leave for a covered employee who has a serious health condition, is caring for a new child or for a family member with a serious health condition, or has a need for leave related to a family member's military deployment or for safe leave; establishing a maximum of 12 weeks of family and medical leave, with an additional 4 weeks for pregnancy or childbirth complications, with a cap on the weekly benefit amount; requiring job protection for and prohibiting retaliation against an employee who takes paid family and medical leave; allowing a local government to opt out of the program; permitting employees of such a local government and self-employed individuals to participate in the program; exempting employers who offer an approved private paid family and medical leave plan; to pay for the program, requiring a premium of 0.9% of each employee's wages, up to a cap, through December 31, 2024, and as set thereafter, up to 1.2% of each employee's wages, by the director of the division of family and medical leave insurance; authorizing an employer to deduct up to 50% of the premium amount from an employee's wages and requiring the employer to pay the remainder of the premium, with an exemption for employers with fewer than 10 employees; creating the division of family and medical leave insurance as an enterprise within the department of labor and employment to administer the program; and establishing an enforcement and appeals process for retaliation and denied claims?

YES/FOR       NO/AGAINST

**Metropolitan Measures**

**Forest Lakes Metropolitan District  
Ballot Issue 6A**

SHALL FOREST LAKES METROPOLITAN DISTRICT BOARD OF DIRECTORS BE AUTHORIZED TO OBTAIN BANK FINANCING FOR A 25-YEAR COMMERCIAL LOAN IN THE AMOUNT OF THREE HUNDRED AND SEVENTY THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$370,000) TO CONSTRUCT A NEW OFFICE BUILDING TO RELOCATE DISTRICT OFFICES FROM BAYFIELD TO FOREST LAKES SUBDIVISION? PASSAGE OF THIS BALLOT WILL PROVIDE: RESIDENTS WITH IMPROVED ACCESS TO DISTRICT STAFF TO BETTER IDENTIFY NEEDS AND SERVE RESIDENTS; AN OFFICE BUILDING THAT IS COMPLIANT WITH THE AMERICANS' WITH DISABILITIES ACT, AND A BUILDING TO BE OWNED AND MAINTAINED BY THE DISTRICT INSTEAD OF LEASING OFFICE SPACE IN BAYFIELD. FINAL LOAN TERMS WILL BE CONTINGENT UPON BOARD OF DIRECTOR'S APPROVAL. MONTHLY MORTGAGE COST OF APPROXIMATELY \$1,730 WILL BE \$71 MORE THAN THE CURRENT LEASE COST OF \$1,659, AND MONTHLY UTILITY EXPENSES FOR THE NEW BUILDING ARE ESTIMATED TO BE \$128 MORE THAN THE CURRENT LEASED SPACE (\$771 FOR CURRENT LEASED SPACE VERSUS \$899 FOR NEW OFFICE BUILDING), WITH DISTRICT TAXES NOT INCREASED SINCE THE ADDITIONAL MONTHLY UTILITY EXPENSES WILL BE PAID FROM OPERATIONAL FUNDS, AND TRANSACTION COSTS, MOVING COSTS, FURNISHINGS, EQUIPMENT, 3RD PARTY FEES (INSPECTION FEES, APPRAISAL, TITLE INSURANCE, ETC.), AND CONTINGENCIES WILL BE PAID FROM OPERATIONAL FUNDS AND/OR RESERVE FUNDS?

YES/FOR       NO/AGAINST

